Local and geographic variation in grazing intensity by herbivorous crabs in SW Atlantic salt marshes

Juan Alberti1,2,*, Mauricio Escapa1,2,3, Pedro Daleo1,2, Oscar Iribarne1,2, Brian R. Silliman4, Mark Bertness5

1Departamento de Biología (FCEyN), Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, CC 573 Correo Central, B7600WAG, Mar del Plata, Argentina

2Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Rivadavia 1917, CP C1033AAJ, Ciudad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

3IADO (CONICET), Florida 8000, CP 8000, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

4Department of Zoology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

5Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912 USA

ABSTRACT: Coupling experiments at small spatial scales with large-scale surveys can help to generalize experimental results across large spatial scales. The goal of the present study was to evaluate patterns of crab herbivory within and, at a larger scale, between many southwestern (SW) Atlantic salt marshes. Hence, we conducted experiments in an Argentinean salt marsh to elucidate the effects of crab Chasmagnathus granulatus grazing on the cordgrass Spartina densiflora and the factors that can affect this interaction, and then examined the potential generality of these results across SW Atlantic salt marshes from Brazil to Argentina (15 marshes, range ≈ 2000 km). Experimental examination of the effects of crabs (control and exclusion) on marsh grass transplants, and factors that can affect them, i.e. the presence or absence of plant neighbors and marsh height (middle and low), revealed that crab herbivory decreased plant biomass and increased stem mortality; however, herbivory effects were significantly diminished in the presence of plant neighbors and with increasing marsh elevation. Our geographical survey showed that crab herbivory is common in SW Atlantic salt marshes, with more than 20% of leaves damaged in most marshes and with greater consumption at marshes with higher crab densities. In addition, plants at the lower edge of marshes were generally the most consumed (max. >60% leaves consumed) and crabs preferred S. alterniflora over S. densiflora. Over a regional spatial scale, our results suggest that herbivory may affect plant production at some marshes and can also play a role in limiting the lower tidal elevation limit of low-marsh plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant growth can be controlled from the bottom-up by nutrients and/or physical factors, and from the top-down by grazers and their predators. Examples of top-down regulation (Halpern et al. 2006), bottom-up effects (Nixon & Buckley 2002) and simultaneous control (Nielsen 2003) are numerous and can be found in aquatic (Williams et al. 2002) and terrestrial systems (Chase et al. 2000). In many cases, both top-down and bottom-up forces are important (Nielsen 2003). Many of these studies, however, have been conducted on local scales, and there is little information on how these factors vary across large spatial scales. Coupling small-scale experiments with large-scale surveys has been suggested as a way to generalize results to larger spatial scales (Wootton 2001).

Bottom-up factors have long been thought to regulate plant growth in salt marsh communities (Valiela et al. 1976). Recent work, however, has also highlighted
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the importance of grazers in marsh systems (Jefferies et al. 2006). Marsh herbivores include crabs (Bortolus & Iribarne 1999), feral horses (Furbish & Albano 1994), cattle (Andresen et al. 1990), hares and geese (Dormann et al. 2000), beetles (Rand 1999), plant hoppers (Daehler & Strong 1995), snails (Silliman & Zieman 2001) and rodents (Vicari et al. 2002). Although some of these grazers are able to strongly suppress marsh grass growth, their regulatory role was considered relatively unimportant by marsh ecologists since their occurrence in marshes is often spatially and temporally patchy (Silliman & Zieman 2001). However, results from small-scale caging experiments at different sites in North America (Virginia: Silliman 1999; Georgia: Silliman & Bertness 2002; Louisiana: Silliman et al. 2005; Hudson Bay and James Bay, Canada: Abraham et al. 2005) coupled with large-scale surveys of grazing intensity (Silliman & Zieman 2001, Abraham et al. 2005), reveal that grazing is an important determinant of marsh grass production across large geographic areas.

Herbivory has also been suggested to be important in southwestern (SW) Atlantic marshes (Bortolus & Iribarne 1999, Costa et al. 2003). Salt marshes between southern Brazil (32°S) and northern Patagonia (42°S) are dominated by Spartina densiflora, Spartina alterniflora, and Sarcocornia perennis (Isacch et al. 2006) and are also distinguished by the presence of the burrowing crab Chasmagnathus granulatus (Iribarne et al. 1997). These crabs (~3 to 4 cm in carapace width) inhabit almost the entire intertidal zone (Iribarne et al. 1997) and are preyed upon mainly by the American oystercatcher Haematopus palliatus (Daleo et al. 2005) and Olrog’s gull Larus atlanticus (Copello & Favero 2001), but these predators do not seem to affect crab population size (Favero et al. 2001). Field observations and gut content analysis indicate that C. granulatus is a herbivore-detritivore in salt marshes (Iribarne et al. 1997, Bortolus & Iribarne 1999) and stable isotope analyses confirm that Spartina spp. plants are their primary food source (Botto et al. 2005). As in North America (Atlantic coast of USA; Silliman & Zieman 2001, Silliman & Bertness 2002, Silliman et al. 2005), invertebrate grazers (i.e. crabs) in South America can exert strong control over marsh plants (Bortolus & Iribarne 1999, Costa et al. 2003, Bortolus et al. 2004). Herbivory by C. granulatus can decrease aerial biomass of S. densiflora (primarily through consumption of young shoots) by up to 87% (Bortolus & Iribarne 1999) and can have strong effects on S. alterniflora (Costa et al. 2003). Given that: (1) Spartina spp. are the primary food of C. granulatus (Botto et al. 2005), (2) C. granulatus exhibits a widespread distribution and high abundance, and (3) C. granulatus is able to suppress marsh grass growth (Bortolus & Iribarne 1999) and, potentially, regulate plant distribution (Costa et al. 2003), we predict that herbivory by C. granulatus plays a key regulatory role in SW Atlantic marshes.

Although crab herbivory may be strong at sites over large spatial scales, the intensity of grazing within marshes has been shown to vary at small spatial scales. For example, tidal gradients are important indirectly-regulating forces, with the highest level of insect grazing occurring in the mid-marsh (Rand 2002). In addition, plant neighbors are also important (i.e. without neighbors: bare patches; with: marsh matrix), with some studies showing larger herbivore impacts when neighbors are present (Dormann et al. 2000), while others show the opposite pattern (Rand 2004). Grazers can also have stronger impacts on marsh edges at the interface between marsh vegetation and exposed mudflat zones (Silliman et al. 2005) and physical disturbance can also mediate consumer impacts, as grazers can be less effective in marsh canopies than at edges of disturbance-generated patches (Rand 1999). How Chasmagnathus granulatus herbivory varies across elevation gradients or in relation to the presence of neighbors, however, has received little attention.

In the present study, we examine small- (10s of m) and large-scale (100s of km) spatial variation in herbivore pressure by Chasmagnathus granulatus in SW Atlantic salt marshes by: (1) experimentally evaluating the extent to which crab herbivory controls the growth of marsh plants across the intertidal, and then (2) quantifying the intensity of crab herbivory on Spartina densiflora and S. alterniflora along 2000 km of SW Atlantic coastline, comprising most of the distribution range of both plant species coexisting with this crab in eastern South America.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Transplant experiments. Experiments were carried out at the Mar Chiquita coastal lagoon (37°46’ S), a ‘UNESCO Man and the Biosphere’ reserve that has been intensively studied over the last 2 decades (Iribarne 2001). This is a brackish body of water (salinity values range between 0.5 and 34‰) affected by low-amplitude tides (≤1 m). The marginal prairie is characterized by halophytic vegetation, dominated by Spartina densiflora and Sarcocornia perennis (Isacch et al. 2006). The burrowing crab Chasmagnathus granulatus is distributed in the tidal flats and in the marshes and is one of the most important macroinvertebrates of SW Atlantic salt marshes (Iribarne et al. 1997).

Given that plant growth can be affected by crab herbivory and that it can vary with marsh height and the presence of plant neighbors, we conducted an experi-
ment to evaluate the effect of these variables on plant growth. It consisted of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ factorial design using transplants, with 2 marsh heights (middle and low; elevation difference: 12 cm), presence and absence of neighbors (marsh matrix vs. bare patches), and 2 crab herbivory intensities (zero and cage control). Treatments consisted of replicated marsh-plant transplants ($n = 12$) individually surrounded with wire mesh cages ($35 \times 35 \times 40$ cm; mesh size: 1.5 cm; cage control treatments had 3 entrances that permitted movement of all crabs, regardless of size). All other invertebrate herbivores present in the marsh could easily pass through the mesh (A. Canepuccia pers. comm.) and field observations showed that they produce leaf wounds different than those of crabs. Even though vertebrate herbivores could potentially be excluded, when present in the marsh they forage at higher intertidal levels (A. Canepuccia unpubl. data) and produce very distinctive marks, given that they cut the stems a few centimeters above ground level (Vicari et al. 2002).

Transplants were extracted as cores (10 cm diameter, 35 cm deep, included 90% of root biomass; P. Daleo unpubl. data) from areas without herbivory (plants were located away from where crabs were found). Preliminary experiments at the same time and location showed no differences between transplanted and non-transplanted units (both with neighbors and herbivory) and between cage control and non-caged transplants, for all variables measured. Individual units from with-neighbor treatments were located in the middle of different Spartina densiflora patches (approx. $2 \times 2$ m) and those assigned to no-neighbor treatments were located in naturally occurring adjacent bare areas. This experiment could not be replicated in other marshes given that periodical monitoring was necessary, which was not possible due to logistic constraints.

To evaluate the effect of experimental treatments on crab grazing intensity, we used percent of leaves grazed per stem (Rand 1999, 2002, 2004), maximum height of the transplant (Costa et al. 2003), percent of live stems (Bortolus et al. 2004) and change in the number of stems per transplant as the response variables. The percent of live leaves damaged per stem (i.e. lacking their tips; when crabs consume leaves, they remove on average more than 20% of leaf biomass; J. Alberti unpubl. data) was measured for 1 randomly chosen stem per replicate during March 2003, 70 d after the beginning of the experiments. The null hypothesis of no difference in percent leaves damaged in transplants with or without neighbors, with or without crabs and in the low and middle marsh was evaluated using a 3-way ANOVA, considering neighbor treatment, crab access and tidal elevation as fixed factors (log transformed; Zar 1999). Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) tests were used for post hoc contrasts.

To evaluate the effect of transplant treatments on plant performance, the proportion and number of live stems and maximum height were measured for transplants in the low marsh (middle marsh transplants were lost due to wrack disturbance) after 1.5 yr (in June 2004). The change in the number of stems (i.e. ratio between final and initial number of stems; log transformed), maximum height and the proportion of live stems, with or without neighbors, and with or without crabs, were evaluated using 2-way ANOVAs (neighbor and crab access treatments as fixed factors).

**Local herbivory patterns.** We also sampled our experimental marsh site for spatial and temporal patterns in crab herbivory to confirm that the results of experiments were indeed found in natural situations, and also to detect if small-scale patterns (i.e. within a marsh) remained the same when changing the scale (intra- vs. inter-marsh comparison). Hence, we evaluated how marsh height, plant location (edge or interior of marsh matrix) and crab density influenced herbivory. We used a $2 \times 2$ factorial design, with 2 marsh heights (middle and low) and 2 locations (marsh-mudflat borders and inside marsh matrix). We scored percent leaves damaged per stem on 120 stems in each category during the fall (the time of the year with the greatest herbivory; J. Alberti unpubl. data). A 2-way ANOVA (marsh level and plant location as fixed factors) was used to contrast differences in herbivory (i.e. percent leaves damaged per stem) between factors.

Since herbivore density can be related to grazing intensity (Lubchenco 1983) we evaluated this in our system. We measured burrow density (an estimator of crab density; Iribarne et al. 1997) by counting the number of burrows in random 0.25 m$^2$ quadrats ($n = 72$). In each quadrat, we randomly selected 1 stem and scored the percent leaves damaged. Regression analysis (Zar 1999) between burrow densities and herbivory was performed.

Given that grazers can have strong effects on the interface between marsh vegetation and exposed mudflats (Silliman et al. 2005), a border marking experiment was performed at 2 localities (Mar Chiquita, *Spartina densiflora*; and San Antonio Bay, 40°48’S, *S. alterniflora*) to evaluate if the intensity of grazing affects stem survival. We identified 2 marsh-mudflat borders with different grazing intensities (probably due to areal extent in the mudflat areas) at these 2 localities (Mar Chiquita: 7.5 and 14.2% of leaves were grazed in the selected borders; San Antonio Bay: 29.6 and 47.4%). Then, 5 groups of 10 live stems were marked at each border and locality, and the number of dead stems per group was counted after 1 yr. Significant differences in the mean number of
dead stems between edges were evaluated using a Mann-Whitney U-test (Conover 1980) at each marsh locality.

**Large-scale surveys.** Surveys were conducted in 15 marshes over a range of more than 2000 km north to south to (1) examine how grazing intensity varied across latitude; (2) evaluate the potential generality of survey and experiment results conducted at our main study site; and (3) detect possible changes in small-scale patterns when changing to a larger scale. Herbivory was measured in most SW Atlantic marshes inhabited by *Chasmagnathus granulatus* (encompassing almost the entire geographical range of *C. granulatus*) and dominated by *Spartina alterniflora* and *S. densiflora* (Fig. 1). Data were collected in 3 marshes of Río Grande do Sul (Río Grande) in Brazil (32° 1' S; 32° 6' S; 32° 9' S); and all others in Argentina: Bahía Samborombón (36° 12' S), Mar Chiquita coastal lagoon, Bahía Blanca (38° 53' S), 3 marshes in Bahía Anegada (40° 33' S), Río Negro estuary (41° 00' S), San Antonio Bay, Caleta de los Loros (41° 01' S), 2 marshes in Riacho San José (42° 25' S) and the Río Chubut estuary (43° 20' S).

*Fig. 1.* *Chasmagnathus granulatus*. Most important (in terms of areal extent) SW Atlantic salt marshes. Dashed line indicates geographic range of *C. granulatus*; ●: marsh locations; arrow highlights our experimental site. A small population of *C. granulatus* is present at SJ, but this species is not present at CV.
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densities of burrows (average number of burrows in 10 random 0.25 m² quadrats) and mean values of herbivory on marsh edges (percent leaves damaged) were calculated for each sampled marsh, and then a regression analysis was performed (Zar 1999). Bahía Samborombón and 2 Bahía Anegada marshes were not included because burrow densities were not collected.

Spartina alterniflora has been found to be preferred by Chasmagnathus granulatus over S. densiflora in southern Brazil (Costa et al. 2003). To determine if this was common across SW Atlantic salt marshes, percent leaves damaged was calculated for 60 randomly chosen stems of each species in marshes where both Spartina species were present. Then, different \( t \)-tests (for Bahía Samborombón, Río Negro and San Antonio) or Mann-Whitney U-tests (Río Grande 1 and Bahía Blanca) were used to evaluate the null hypotheses of no difference in herbivory between the 2 species for each marsh with both Spartina species present. Since plant survival can be positively related to population density (Bruno et al. 2003) we compared stem densities of both plant species as a possible explanation of differences in grazing intensities between the two. Differences in mean stem densities (the average number of live stems on five 20 × 20 cm random quadrats) between both Spartina species were evaluated using a \( t \)-test (one value per species per marsh, for all marshes except Río Grande 1 and Bahía Samborombón, where these data could not be collected).

**RESULTS**

**Transplant experiment**

Crab herbivory in the low marsh at Mar Chiquita was twice as high as in the middle marsh, and more than 3 times higher when transplants were deployed in bare patches (no plant neighbors) with crabs present (Tables 1 & 2). In the low marsh, the same pattern was observed when analyzing plant performance (i.e. transplant size, height and proportion alive) after 1.5 yr. Growing in bare patches and without herbivory led to an almost 100 % increase in stems (Tables 3 & 4). Herbivory reduced the proportion of live stems by 50 % in bare patches and the maximum height of transplants by 45 % (Tables 3 & 4).

**Local herbivory patterns**

Edge plants from the low marsh of Mar Chiquita were more consumed; growing inside the marsh matrix or in the middle marsh reduced crab herbivory (percent leaves damaged) by 50 % (interaction effect: \( F_{1,476} = 10.97, p < 0.001 \)). No association was found between crab density and herbivory at Mar Chiquita (\( r^2 = 0.01, n = 72, p > 0.39 \)). Stems on marsh edges with high herbivore damage at San Antonio Bay (Spartina alterniflora), had mortality 2.2 times higher than on...
edges with less damage ($U = 1$, $n = 10$, $p < 0.05$), but there were no significant differences at Mar Chiquita ($U = 6$, $n = 10$, $p > 0.17$).

### Crab herbivory patterns in marshes

Most SW Atlantic marshes inhabited by the burrowing crab *Chasmagnathus granulatus* showed more than 20% of leaves damaged per stem, and similar or more intense herbivore pressure on marsh edges than interiors (Table 5). As at Mar Chiquita, the lower marsh edges of Bahía Blanca (*Spartina alteriflora*), Bahía Anegada (*S. densiflora*) and San Antonio Bay (*S. alterniflora*) showed almost 3 times more damaged leaves than their middle-marsh edges ($U = 294.5$, $n = 60$, $p < 0.05$; $t = 5.11$, $df = 53$, $p < 0.001$; $t = 4.73$, $df = 48$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). Even though no association was found between crab density and herbivory at Mar Chiquita, when examining this relationship at a larger scale, using marshes as sampling units instead of quadrats, marshes with higher mean crab densities had more damaged leaves on their edges (Fig. 2). *S. densiflora* stem densities were more than 4 times larger than those of *S. alterniflora* ($t = 3.58$, $df = 6$, $p < 0.01$). In all marshes with both *Spartina* species present, *S. alterniflora* experienced grazing intensities at least twice those of *S. densiflora* (Río Grande 1: $U = 1144$, $n = 120$, $p < 0.001$; Bahía Samborombón: $t = 6.81$, $df = 118$, $p < 0.001$; Bahía Blanca: $U = 1421.5$, $n = 120$, $p < 0.05$; Río Negro: $t = 2.89$, $df = 110$, $p < 0.01$; San Antonio Bay: $t = 4.90$, $df = 89$, $p < 0.001$).

### DISCUSSION

Results of our small-scale grazing experiments, combined with large-scale surveys of salt marshes throughout Argentina and Brazil inhabited by *Chasmagnathus granulatus*, suggest that crab herbivory may (1) play an important role in regulating the production (through increased plant mortality) of *Spartina* spp.; and (2) potentially affect its lower intertidal distribution in some marshes. In half of the marshes surveyed that were inhabited by *C. granulatus*, crab herbivory was higher than at our experimental site, where it significantly affected plant performance and stem survival. Crab herbivory is a widespread phenomenon and is most intense (1) on the edges of bare patches; (2) in marshes with higher densities of crabs; (3) in the low marsh; and (4) on leaves of *S. alterniflora* in comparison to *S. densiflora*.

#### Table 4. Transplant experiment in the low marsh. Results of the 2-way ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in stem number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbivore access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors × Herbivore access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of live stems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbivore access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2552.76</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors × Herbivore access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2232.13</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>511.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>494.08</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbivore access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>954.08</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbors × Herbivore access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1680.33</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>347.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 5. SW Atlantic marshes visited in this study (north to south), *Spartina* species considered, percentage of leaves damaged (SE) at the edge and interior of marshes, and p-values from edge vs. interior comparison. Different numbers next to locality names denote different isolated marshes within the same locality. The total number of stems analyzed per plant location within the marsh was 30 for all localities except at Mar Chiquita ($n = 120$). Note that *Chasmagnathus granulatus* was not found at Riacho San José 1 or Río Chubut, and that in Río Grande 3 only juveniles were found, and they do not eat live plants (Botto et al. 2005). *U* next to p-values denote comparisons using Mann-Whitney U-test; otherwise $t$-tests were used. nd = no data collected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Leaves damaged (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>Marsh matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Grande</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>24.1 (3.5)</td>
<td>8 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>7.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>25.2 (5.4)</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samborombón</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>75 (3.1)</td>
<td>51.7 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>41.2 (4.2)</td>
<td>29.4 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar Chiquita</td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>37 (2)</td>
<td>17.7 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahía Blanca</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>24.8 (4.8)</td>
<td>7.9 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>13.9 (4.6)</td>
<td>4.7 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahía Anegada</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>20.6 (4.8)</td>
<td>49.3 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>62.3 (6)</td>
<td>28.2 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>46.4 (5.3)</td>
<td>16.8 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>64.9 (5.3)</td>
<td>13.7 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Negro</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>24.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>21.1 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>74.7 (6.2)</td>
<td>29 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>47.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>20.4 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>20.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caleta de los Loros</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>3.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>15.5 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>19.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>21.1 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riacho San José 1</td>
<td><em>S. alterniflora</em></td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>19.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>21.1 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Río Chubut</td>
<td><em>S. densiflora</em></td>
<td>1.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local variation in herbivore pressure

In the Mar Chiquita salt marsh, herbivory in transplant experiments was greater on plants in bare patches than in the marsh matrix. Our data suggest that this grazing pattern was generated by a predation dilution effect (i.e. the probability of a particular prey being consumed decreases as the number or density of potential prey increases), rather than by apparent competition (Holt 1984), as previously found in New England marshes (Rand 1999), or increased susceptibility to herbivory due to the lack of facilitation provided by neighbors (plant neighbors that reduced the incidence of harsh physical factors via shade indirectly permitted plants to become less susceptible to herbivory due to a reduction in the stress these plants experienced; Rand 2004). Apparent competition was not a possible explanation for the increased grazing intensity in bare patches because no other plant species were present. Plants in bare patches with herbivores excluded exhibited greater growth and a similar proportion of live stems than those in the dense marsh matrix, strongly indicating that physical factors did not stress plants transplanted into bare patches, and suggesting that intraspecific competition for nutrients is more important than herbivory in the marsh matrix. Hence, another potential explanation arises: differences in grazing intensity between transplants in bare patches (or plants in the marsh edge) and in the marsh matrix can potentially indicate crab feeding preferences on plants with higher nitrogen content (only if differences in competition translate into differences in nutrient contents on plant tissues). Further experiments are needed to confirm this speculation.

Plant survival can be positively related to population density (Bruno et al. 2003) and, by definition, stems on edges have fewer neighbors than stems on the marsh matrix. Given this pattern, increased grazing intensity on the edges of bare areas (or on transplants into them) may have been due to a predation dilution effect in the marsh interior and/or increased mechanical constraint on the movement of crabs. In fact, previous work in Brazil found a negative association between Spartina alterniflora stem densities and Chasmagnathus granulatus grazing intensity (Costa et al. 2003).

We also found that herbivore pressure varied with tidal height, with herbivory greatest in the low marsh, similar to previous findings (Rand 2002, Silliman & Bertness 2002). In previous studies, differences in herbivory across tidal gradients reflected differences in predation pressures on herbivores (Silliman & Bertness 2002) or changes in host plant composition (Rand 2002). However, herbivores may also respond to underlying environmental conditions that affect them directly, such as in some mangrove systems, where crab seed predators prefer feeding at lower tidal elevations where desiccation stress is reduced (Sousa & Mitchell 1999). The reason why Chasmagnathus granulatus eats more plants at lower intertidal levels remains unknown.

Generality of patterns over a geographic range

A common pattern found in almost all marshes was that plants on the edges of patches were more heavily grazed than plants located in the marsh interior. The opposite pattern was found in only 2 of the sampled marshes (Bahía Anegada 1 and Caleta de los Loros), and in these marshes crabs lived only in the marsh interior, suggesting that proximity to food may swamp benefits of feeding along more accessible edge areas, or that when crabs also live on bare surface, they accumulate and feed on the nearest plants (edges). The tendency for crabs to concentrate their herbivory on edges of bare patches could have important community consequences: herbivory concentrated on edges of plant distribution can prevent plant colonization and patch closure (Bishop 2002), suppressing potential primary production (Silliman et al. 2005) and ecosystem function associated with areas of high plant cover (Fagan & Bishop 2000). However, to confirm this hypothesis, exclusion experiments on the edges of plant distributions are needed.

Crabs showed a marked preference for feeding on Spartina alterniflora over S. densiflora, a pattern observed previously in a study examining plant competition and zonation in Brazil (Costa et al. 2003). As discussed before, these grazing preference patterns could
be attributed to accessibility issues, due to the natural differences in stem densities; *S. densiflora* tillers are more dense and difficult to maneuver through than those of *S. alterniflora*. Alternatively, these differences could represent true crab preferences for *S. alterniflora* tissue and leaves based on any number of possible traits (e.g. nutrient content, silica content, toughness, phenols, other chemical and/or structural defenses). Another alternative explanation for the observed *S. alterniflora* preference could be the distribution range of both species within a particular marsh, given that crabs graze more intensely in the low marsh (Tables 1 & 2) and that *S. alterniflora* occupies the lower reaches of the marsh when both species coexist (Isacch et al. 2006). Crab herbivory is more intense in these lower areas and it can increase *S. alterniflora* mortality (see ‘Results’), which may, hypothetically, affect mudflat colonization rate by plants in the lower reaches of the intertidal. At other marshes dominated by *S. densiflora*, the lower distribution limits of this plant are generally thought to be controlled by inundation frequency, as differences of 5 cm in intertidal height have strong effects on *S. densiflora* survival (Castillo et al. 2000). Our results suggest that crab herbivory may potentially be an important factor controlling the lower distribution of *S. densiflora* as well.

**Large-scale patterns in crab herbivory**

Previous studies examining grazing intensity across latitudinal gradients found that low-latitude plants were more frequently consumed than high-latitude plants (Pennings & Silliman 2005). In our survey of nearly 2000 km of coastline covering almost the entire distribution range of the crab, we found strong latitudinal differences. However, the variation of grazing intensity with latitude was not in a gradient, and it seems to be mainly affected by herbivore densities, probably because all surveys were performed in the same biogeographic region.

Plant species presence seems to be another factor affecting herbivory, given that crabs consume *Spartina alterniflora* more than *S. densiflora* (Costa et al. 2003). A recent study has shown that marshes dominated by *S. densiflora* are associated with higher freshwater inputs (e.g. rivers or coastal lagoons), while *S. alterniflora* dominate marshes with greater marine influences (Isacch et al. 2006). One interesting consequence of this association is that by affecting plant species dominance over large spatial scales, the presence of rivers and coastal lagoons could be driving where strong herbivore impacts will be found and thus be much more important than latitude in driving large-scale variation in herbivore pressure.

**Local vs. large-scale considerations: importance of scaling up**

Because crab grazing can reach higher and/or lower levels than those observed at Mar Chiquita (our experimental site; see Table 5), the effects of crab grazing are thus likely to be even more important at some marshes and less important at others than suggested by our transplant experiments conducted at one site. This pattern was also observed in similar studies conducted in North American salt marshes (see comparison of results in Silliman & Zieman 2001 vs. Silliman & Bertness 2002). Limiting our surveys to one or a few marshes would have led us to under- or overestimate the overall effect of crab herbivory on marsh plants. Our results highlight the importance of coupling small-scale experiments and large-scale surveys when assessing the relative importance of consumers and nutrients in marine systems.
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